The Role of Radiotherapy in Modern Lung Cancer Treatment

Julian Kim, MD, BEng, MSc, FRCPC Radiation Oncologist

Disclosures

• None

Objectives

- 1) List 3 potential indications for radiotherapy in the management of lung cancer
- 2) Understand what is involved in Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy and why it is used
- 3) Identify common lung cancer radiation toxicities and their management

Case 1

- 77F with prior lung cancer
 Stage I NSCLC (T1N0): RUL lobectomy (2004)
- PMHx:
 - Ex-smoker with a 60 PYHx
 - COPD (no supplemental O_2)
 - CAD (2 stents), HTN, DM2, 个cholesterol
 - ECOG Performance status = 1
- PFTs: FEV1 = 0.9 litres, DLCO = 40% predicted

Case 1 – Cont'd

- Follow-up CXR: new Right lung nodule
- CT chest: new, spiculated right lung nodule suspicious for malignancy
- PET scan:
 - Right lung nodule (SUV = 26) highly suspicious for malignancy.
 - No nodal or distant metastases suspected

Case 1: Continued...

CT Chest



1.7 x 1.1 cm tumour

SUV = 26.3

Case 1 – Cont'd

What is your next course of action?

- A) Ask a thoracic surgeon for an opinion regarding resection
- B) Ask interventional radiology to consider a biopsy
- C) Observe with serial imaging scans
- D) Send to Radiation Oncology for consideration of radical Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy (SBRT)
- E) Bug me later, I haven't had coffee yet...

Case 1 - Cont'd

- Thoracic surgeon:
 - "Considerable comorbidities and advanced age puts her at high perioperative risk"
 - "Not a good candidate for surgical resection"

Case 1 - cont'd

Interventional Radiology:

- "Location of tumour close to fissure & bullae puts the patient at high risk of pneumothorax"
- "Imaging appearances are in keeping with a primary lung malignancy"
- "CT guided biopsy is not recommended"

Case 1 – Cont'd

Rad Onc Assessment

- Good performance status
- Still independent
- Biopsy not feasible
 - Brought to Thoracic DSG rounds for discussion
 - Consensus recommendation was for SBRT lung

- What:
 - New treatment modality (2014)
 - Highly conformal ablative doses of RT to tumours
 - Cutting edge RT imaging/planning/delivery
 - "Game Changer":
 - Very well tolerated
 - Excellent local control (~90%)
 - Convenient schedules of 3 to 8 fractions

- Who:
 - Patients who are inoperable or decline surgery
 - Advanced age (no defined upper age limit)
 - Considerable comorbidities (COPD, CAD)
 - Poor pulmonary function:
 - High anesthesia risk
 - Requirements:
 - T1-T2 (<5cm)N0 NSCLC or metastasis (Biopsy Preferred)
 - Non-oxygen dependent at rest
 - Still functional at home, $ECOG \le 2$
 - Able to lie supine and flat/still for ~30 mins
 - "Minimum" FEV1 = 0.8L; "Minimum" DLCO = 35%

- Where:
 - CCMB MacCharles
 - KIAM (HSC) "Edge"
 - WMCC TBD







- How
 - "Mock setup"
 - 4DCT simulation scan (free breathing)
 - Respiratory phase correlated CT data sets
 - Treatment planning (7-10 days)
 - SBRT treatment:
 - 3 to 8 fraction course
 - Free breathing
 - Each fraction takes 30-45 mins
 - Treatment setup takes 15-30 min
 - Actual RT takes 4-5 minutes per fraction

Case 1 – Cont'd

ITV = Green PTV = Red



95% isodose





50% isodose



SBRT tolerability

- Generally very well tolerated
- Toxicity depends on location

Acute Toxicity (typically G1-2)	Late Toxicity
Fatigue	Rib fracture
chest wall discomfort	Pulmonary Fibrosis (localized)
Dry skin	Secondary malignancy (rare)
Pneumonitis (rare)	

SBRT Toxicity

- Radiation Pneumonitis
 - Relatively rare issue with modern SBRT techniques
 - Inflammation of lung from RT; causes lungs to make less surfactant
 - Classically presents 6 weeks post RT
 - Dry cough, fatigue, SOBOE, malaise, fever possible
 - $-O/E: \downarrow O2 \text{ sat}, \uparrow WOB$
 - Management depends on severity

Pneumonitis





SBRT Plan F/U CT

Huang, K, et al. Journal of Thoracic Oncology. Vol 10 (3), March 2015

Radiation Pneumonitis Cont'd

Grade	Definition	Risk ¹	Management
1	Asymptomatic (DI finding only)	8%	Conservative
2	Persistent Dry Cough Limits iADLs	7%	PO Prednisone (Outpatient)
3	Persistent Dry Cough Limits ADLs Hypoxia	2%	Dex, O ₂ (Admission)
4	Life threatening hypoxia	0.4%	Dex Intubation, ICU

Note: ***Risk is almost certainly overestimated given obsolete SBRT techniques used in this study's period***

¹Barringer, B et al. IJROBP, Vol 82 (1), Jan 2012

SBRT - Summary

- "Game Changer"
 - T1-2N0 NSCLC or Metastasis
 - Biopsy preferred, but not always necessary
 - Comorbidities/inoperable/poor PFTs/elderly OK
 - Very well tolerated, convenient
 - High local control
 - Pneumonitis is possible, but very rarely severe

Case 2

• 71F, retired warehouse worker

– Current smoker with 60 PYH

• PMH:

- DM2, HTN, MI (2010), COPD
- Early stage Breast Ca
- Followed by Respirology
 - Routine F/U CXR showed R lung apex lesion
 - CT Chest ordered

CT chest



- Emphysematous changes
- 8.0 x 6.4 x 6.6 cm RUL tumour
- Enlarged R hilar LNs
- Sub cm mediastinal LNs

What is your next step?

- A) Refer to Thoracic Surgery?
- B) Refer to interventional radiology for a CT guided Biopsy?
- C) Refer to CCMB for further Management?
- D) Order a PET scan?
- E) Undecided, Its really early in the AM

What is your next step?

A) Refer to Thoracic Surgery?

Case 2 – Cont'd

- Assessed by Thoracic Surgery
- PFT's: FEV1 = 1.65L, DLCO 55% predicted
- Bronchoscopy:
 - RUL biopsy: Adenocarcinoma
- Not an operative candidate due to comorbidities
- Ordered PET





- PET:
 - RUL tumour (SUV 17.6)
 - R hilar LN (SUV 3.4)
 - No distant mets

Case 2 - Management

T3N1M0 NSCLC (adenoca)

- Stage IIIA (Inoperable)

- Assessed by RO and MO
 - Radical concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CRT)
 - RT: 66Gy/33 fractions 3D conformal radiotherapy
 - Chemo: weekly carboplatin + paclitaxel

3D Conformal RT



Outcomes



5 Yr OS = 32%

Acute Adverse Effects

Adverse Effect	Frequency	Management
Fatigue	Cumulative & common	Rest
Pneumonitis	15-20%	Grade dependent
Chest wall discomfort	10%	Supportive
Esophagitis	Location dependent (30-40% of pts with mediastinal disease)	See Next Slide

Esophagitis

- Most common local acute toxicity of CRT – Mediastinal or Hilar LN
- Onset midway during CRT course
- Mechanism:
 - mucosal inflammation and epithelial thinning
 - denudation and ulceration
 - Sloughing of cells can make for candidiasis
- Generally self limiting

Esophagitis

- Symptoms:
 - Sensation of heartburn
 - Odynophagia
 - Exacerbated by PO intake (Hot foods/ETOH)
- O/E: may have signs of thrush in oropharnynx



Miura, Y. Case Reports in Oncology. Vol 6(2), May 2013

Esophagitis Management

- Supportive interventions:
 - Liquid Analgesics
 - Acid Suppression (PPI)
 - Dietary Modification (Nutrition consult)
 - Avoid spicy or hot foods
 - Avoid EtOH
 - "Magic Mouthwash" to swish & swallow
 - Xylocaine + Antacid + Antifungal + ATBX + Steroid

Case 2 Summary

- Concurrent CRT is used for stage III NSCLC
- Outcomes slowly improving
- "Marathon"
 - Supportive care is key to having pts finish
 - Toxicity is typically self limiting

Case 3

- 60F with known metastatic NSCLC (liver mets)
 - Prior palliative systemic therapy
 - 1st line cisplatin/pemetrexed (4 cycles)
 - 2nd line erlotinib (3 months)
 - 3rd line nivolumab
- HPI: Walks into her CCPN with:
 - 1 wk hx of progressive mid back pain requiring T3s
 - No falls
 - No bowel or bladder incontinence
- O/E:
 - Still ambulatory
 - No focal neurological weakness, no loss of sensation
 - Significant pain on percussion of spine

What do you do next?

- A) X-ray spine?
- B) CT Spine?
- C) MRI Spine?
- D) Send to tertiary care center for NS consult?
- E) Call the on call RO for assessment?





Multilevel vertebral body metastases (T7, T8, T9-10)
Mild spinal canal narrowing
No significant spinal cord compression

Case 3 Cont'd

RO assessment on call

- No clinical evidence of spinal cord comp
- Uncomplicated bone metastasis
- Caveat: pt was on nivolumab 1 week ago
- Plan:
 - CT sim
 - Intentional 1 wk delay prior to
 - Palliative RT (8Gy/1 fraction)

Palliative RT to T6-T11



8 Gy in 1

Is 8Gy in 1 fraction enough?

Single vs. Multiple Fraction RT

Review & metaanalysis of 25 -RCTs (n=5617)

Overall pain response rate 60% vs. 61%

Complete pain response rate

23% vs. 24%

	Single Fra	action	Multiple Fr	action		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI
Price	29	140	34	148	0.7%	0.90 [0.58, 1.40]	
Cole	12	16	9	13	0.7%	1.08 [0.68, 1.72]	
Kagei	12	13	12	14	2.0%	1.08 [0.83, 1.40]	
Gaze	108	151	99	144	6.2%	1.04 [0.90, 1.21]	
Nielsen	52	122	56	119	1.8%	0.91 [0.68, 1.20]	
Foro*	19	25	21	25	1.8%	0.90 [0.68, 1.20]	
Foro	19	25	22	25	2.0%	0.86 [0.66, 1.12]	
Koswig	41	52	45	55	3.9%	0.96 [0.80, 1.16]	
BPTWP	274	383	257	378	15.8%	1.05 [0.96, 1.16]	
Kirkbride	101	200	95	198	3.5%	1.05 [0.86, 1.29]	
Ozsaran*	27	36	29	35	2.4%	0.91 [0.71, 1.15]	
Ozsaran	27	36	28	38	1.9%	1.02 [0.78, 1.33]	
Sarkar	13	35	16	38	0.4%	0.88 [0.50, 1.56]	
Altundag*	13	17	12	14	1.2%	0.89 [0.64, 1.25]	
Altundag	13	18	12	14	1.1%	0.84 [0.59, 1.20]	
Badzio	53	72	52	74	3.4%	1.05 [0.86, 1.28]	-
van der Linden	395	579	396	578	22.5%	1.00 [0.92, 1.08]	-+-
Roos	73	137	83	135	3.3%	0.87 [0.71, 1.06]	
Hartsell	187	455	188	443	5.8%	0.97 [0.83, 1.13]	
El Shenshawy*	39	50	40	50	3.4%	0.97 [0.80, 1.19]	
El Shenshawy	39	50	39	50	3.2%	1.00 [0.81, 1.23]	
Hamouda	42	52	46	55	4.4%	0.97 [0.81, 1.15]	
Safwat*	14	20	14	20	0.8%	1.00 [0.67, 1.50]	
Safwat	14	20	15	20	0.9%	0.93 [0.64, 1.37]	
Amouzegar-Hashemi	21	36	20	34	0.9%	0.99 [0.67, 1.47]	
Foro Arnalot	59	78	71	82	6.0%	0.87 [0.75, 1.02]	
Total (95% CI)		2818		2799	100.0%	0.98 [0.95, 1.02]	•
Total events	1696		1711				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = (Test for overall effect: 2	0.00; Chi² = Z = 0.91 (P =	11.55, df • 0.36)	= 25 (P = 0.	99); I² = 0)%		0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2 Favours Multiple Favours Sindle

Single versus multiple fraction RT

Furthermore:

- No difference in toxicity
- No difference in duration of response
- No increased fracture rate
- No difference in spinal cord compression
- SFRT preferred by patients
- SFRT is considerably more economical



Don't recommend more than a single fraction of palliative radiation for an uncomplicated painful bone metastasis.

Randomized trials have established that single-fraction radiation to a previously unirradiated, uncomplicated peripheral bone or vertebral metastasis provides comparable pain relief and morbidity compared to multiple-fraction regimens, while optimizing patient and caregiver convenience. Although it results in a higher incidence of retreatment at a later date (20% vs. 8 % for multi-fraction regimens), the decreased patient burden usually outweighs any considerations of long-term effectiveness for those with a limited life expectancy.

Palliative RT acute adverse effects

Adverse effect	Frequency	Management
Fatigue	Common	Rest
Bone pain flare	~1 in 5	Analgesia consider prophylactic dex
Nausea	Location dependent (abdomen)	Supportive (PRN antiemetics)
Diarrhea	Location dependent (pelvis)	Supportive (PRN Imodium)

Bone Pain Flare

- Occurs in ~1 in 5 patients with bone mets who get palliative RT
- "2 point" increase in pain severity
- Onset typically 24 hrs post RT
- Typically lasts 24-48 hours
- Although alarming, no long term impact
- Consider 8mg Dex prior to treating bone mets

Palliative RT summary

- SFRT is highly effective for bone mets
- Cross sectional imaging and clinical assessment appreciated for suspected SCC
- RO will assess bone mets urgently (uncomplicated) or emergently (symtomatic cord compression)
- Bone pain flares are common, short-lived, and are managed with analgesia +/- Dex

Summary

- RT for lung cancer continues to evolve & improve outcomes
- For lung cancer RT can be used for:
 - Early stage disease (T1-2N0) \rightarrow SBRT
 - − Stage III \rightarrow Concurrent CRT
 - Stage IV \rightarrow Bone Mets
- RT side effects are location dependent
 - Pneumonitis
 - Esophagitis
 - Bone Pain Flare

Questions

Fun Trivia





